

Remarks

The Final Office Action mailed April 18, 2005, has been reviewed. Only claim 6 is proposed to be amended in this paper. No claims are cancelled or added. Accordingly, claims 1 through 26 remain in the case before the Examiner.

35 U.S.C. §102:

As discussed by telephone with the Examiner on 4-26-2005, the rejection of claims 6, 12, 24, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as being anticipated by Fredrickson US4,077,385, is avoided in view of the instant proposed change to base claim 6, from which claims 12, 24, and 26 depend. The proposed change to claim 6 more specifically points out that the bow string element is anchored to structure carried by the cam element, in harmony with arguments previously presented. As agreed during the telephone conference, the proposed amendment to claim 6 would clearly distinguish over the cable anchoring arrangement disclosed by Fredrickson '385. Support for the proposed change is found in FIGs. 3 and 4, and paragraphs [17, and 19]. Subsequent to entrance of the instant proposed amendment, the rejection of claims 6, 12, 24, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) should be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant requests that the instant amendment be entered and that a Notice of Allowance be issued for claims 1-26. If any questions or issues remain which might most conveniently be resolved by telephone interview, FAX, or by e-mail, the Examiner is respectfully requested to communicate with the representative at the below indicated contact information.

Respectfully submitted,



Brian C. Trask  
3601 East Hermes Drive  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84124  
(Voice) (801) 274-8851  
(FAX) (801) 272-1127  
e-mail: bct@diviti.com  
April 26, 2005